

Selection of dairy goats using milk recording and multivariate analysis in a family farming system

Selección de cabras lecheras mediante control lechero y análisis multivariado en un sistema de la Agricultura Familiar

Frau, Florencia*

Facultad de Agronomía y Agroindustrias, Universidad Nacional de Santiago del Estero, Santiago del Estero, Argentina

Florencia Salinas

Facultad de Agronomía y Agroindustrias, Universidad Nacional de Santiago del Estero, Santiago del Estero, Argentina

Jorge Nelson Leguizamón Carate

Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Tecnologías. Universidad Nacional de Santiago del Estero, Santiago del Estero, Argentina

Javier Togo

Facultad de Agronomía y Agroindustrias, Universidad Nacional de Santiago del Estero, Santiago del Estero, Argentina

Nora Pece

Facultad de Agronomía y Agroindustrias. Universidad Nacional de Santiago del Estero, Santiago del Estero, Argentina

Revista de la Facultad de Agronomía

Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina ISSN: 1669-9513 Periodicidad: Continua vol. 122, 2023 redaccion.revista@agro.unlp.edu.ar Recepción: 18 Julio 2022 Aprobación: 17 Noviembre 2022 Publicación: noviembre 2023

URL: <u>http://portal.amelica</u> DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.24215/16699513e124</u> Autor de correspondencia: ffrau@unse.edu.ar



Rev. Fac. Agron., La Plata (2023) Vol 122. Selection of dairy goats using multivariate analysis 1-9

Abstract

Although dairy control is a widely used tool in herds with numerous animals (mainly cows), it is often neglected in small farms. The aim of the present work was to select the most suitable animals for milk production using dairy control and multivariate statistics. Results indicate a great dispersion of the data as a consequence of milk production variation from each goat. These results demonstrate the need to identify and select the most productive animals in order to have a selected and controlled flock. The analysis of hierarchical conglomerates showed that the herd can be divided into three groups: Cluster 1 is integrated by 31 goats with the highest productive parameters, above the herd average and together produce 59.1% of the accumulative milk yield; Cluster 2 consists of 24 animals whose production parameters are close to the current average of the herd and accumulates 27.7% of milk production and Cluster 3 groups animals with the lowest productive animals for milk production. This represents 64.70% of the herd and they are responsible for 86.8% of the total milk production.

Key words: lactation curve, Principal Components Analysis (PCA), Hierarchical Conglomerates, dairy control, milk production

Resumen

Si bien el control lechero es una herramienta ampliamente utilizada en rebaños con gran cantidad de animales (principalmente vacas), no se utiliza en pequeñas explotaciones como las que pertenecen a la agricultura familiar. El objetivo del presente trabajo fue seleccionar los animales más aptos para la producción de leche de cabra en un sistema de la agricultura familiar, utilizando control lechero y estadística multivariada. Los resultados indican una gran dispersión de los datos como consecuencia de la variación de la producción de leche de cada cabra; estos resultados demuestran la necesidad de identificar y seleccionar los animales más productivos para tener un rebaño controlado. El análisis de conglomerados jerárquicos mostró que el rebaño se puede dividir en tres grupos: el conglomerado 1 está integrado por 31 cabras con los parámetros producción de leche acumulada; el Clúster 2 está formado por 24 animales cuyos parámetros productivos se acercan a la media actual del rebaño y acumula el 27,7% de la producción de leche y el Clúster 3 agrupa a los animales de menor producción. A partir de los resultados obtenidos se pudo realizar la selección de los 55 animales más productivos para la producción total de leche.

Palabras Clave: curva de lactación, Análisis de Componentes Principales (ACP), conglomerados jerárquicos, control lechero, producción de leche.

INTRODUCTION

Although dairy control is a widely used tool in herds with numerous animals (mainly cows), it is often neglected in small farms. Lactation curve can be defined as a biological process explained by a mathematical equation. It is useful for forecasting total production, for planning herd management using a reliable prediction tool, and for selecting animals considering the relationships among the different parts of the curve (Espinosa et al., 2016; Vinay-Vadillo et al., 2012).

The ideal lactation profile is a curve with a high peak and a moderate decrease afterwards. Peak yield, being the moment that determines the lactation curve to the greatest extent, varies among species and animals. It is known that in dairy goats it is essential to know the dairy production and the factors that modify it (Peña Blanco et al., 1999). Among the many factors influencing the quantity and quality of goat milk are: race, individual, stage of lactation, feeding, type of milking, type of calving, number of lactation and herd management (Idowu & Adewumi, 2017).

Goats have a unique role in smallholder agriculture as they require small investments; they have broad feeding habits, short reproductive cycle, and good adaptation to unfavorable environmental conditions and suit the circumstances of especially resource poor livestock keepers (Seid & Kebede, 2015).

Dairy goat systems in Santiago del Estero belong to family farming and are characterized by small farms, low technological level and insufficient investment. The goat milk sector is mostly comprised of farms and microenterprises where family labor prevails (Paz et al., 2003; Pece et al., 2017). The predominant milk production system is semi-extensive; food is mainly based on open field grazing, using natural forages as the basis of food. These systems are limited by the instability and seasonality of their productions, as well as by poor and inadequate marketing in most cases (Pece et al., 2017).

Even though lactation curves have been carried out in Santiago del Estero (Paz et al., 2005; Paz et al., 2007), this methodology has not been used for the selection of animals or management of the herd.

The aim of the present work was to select the most suitable animals for milk production using multivariate statistics in a family farming system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in a goat farm, located in El Polear, La Banda, Santiago del Estero, Argentina. The farm belongs to the family farming system and there is no control of production, especially in aspects such as feeding, replacement or control of cattle. The milking herd is composed by 81 Anglo Nubian (AN) x Criolla (C) and Saanen (S) x Criolla crossbred goats. Animals graze in areas with no division. Goats, during milking, have a supplement consisting of 390 g of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) and 265 g of maize (*Zea maiz*) per animal.

The experiment was carried out from July 2018 to July 2019; milk recording was performed by three supervisors according to the recommendations for A4 (ICAR, 2003). The milk obtained from each animal was weighed; then, a sample was obtained and kept refrigerated until their analysis at the National University of Santiago del Estero, distant 10 km from the farm.

A total of 421 lactations were used from 85 healthy goats. The first control was carried out between days 10 and 20 after birth, with lactation being considered terminated when milked milk was below 200 g/d. Milk production (typified at 210 days and complete lactation) was estimated by the Carré adaptation to the method of Fleischmann, since it is the ideal in the conditions of this experience (Vega et al., 1999).

Milk fat and protein content was determined by triplicated using LactoStar Funke Gerber 3560 automatic analyzer. The results were expressed as % w/v.

All data gathered during the study period were coded and recorded in Microsoft Excel 2007. The data obtained in the dairy control were used to analyze the variability and similarity of the following productivity indicators: actual production, standardized production at 210 days, duration of lactation, fat, and protein content. For the study, multivariate analysis techniques, Principal Components Analysis (PCA) and Hierarchical Conglomerates were used (Arredondo et al., 2015; Camelo et al., 2008; Yakubu et al., 2011). The statistical system InfoStat version 2018 was used for the information processing.

Rev. Fac. Agron., La Plata (2023) Vol 122. Selection of dairy goats using multivariate analysis 1-9

RESULTS

Table 1 shows general statistics of the parameters obtained from controlled lactations and Table 2 presents production parameters by calving season. Figure 1 shows the individual milk production during the 421 controlled lactations.

General statistics of mill	k producti	TABL on parameters.	E 1 References: Y: ac	cumulated m	ilk yield; Y 2
Variable	days; F: a Mean	St deviation	Variation Coefficient (%)	Minimum	Maximum
Y (Kg)	100.86	57.55	57.06	18.53	264.28
Y 210 (Kg)	112.89	40.18	35.59	45.77	209.04
Daily production (Kg)	0.54	0.19	35.59	0.22	1.00
Duration of lactation (days)	177.38	59.71	33.66	55.00	384.00
F (Kg)	4.88	2.70	55.40	1.25	12.54
P (Kg)	4.08	2.16	53.02	0.81	9.43

TABLE 2

Mean values by calving season. Different superscripts in the rows mean that there are significant differences between the calving season. References: Y: accumulated milk yield; Y 210: normalized yield to 210 days, F: accumulated fat production; P: accumulated protein production.

Variable	Calving season			
	A/W	S/S		
Y (Kg)	111.01ª	86.27 ^b		
Y 210 (Kg)	116.32ª	107.91ª		
Duration of lactation	189.56ª	159.97 ^b		
F (Kg)	5.36ª	4.10 ^b		
P (Kg)	4.38ª	3.64ª		



Figure 2 presents the analysis of hierarchical conglomerates showed that according to Y, F, and Y_{210} , the herd can be divided into three groups. Table 3 shows the characteristics of the selected animals in each cluster.



DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows the results of the parameters obtained from controlled lactations. The accumulated milk yield (Y) has a very high coefficient of variation, which coincides with other studies carried out in the region in establishments with similar breeding characteristics (Funes & Mótola, 1998; Paz et al., 2007); these results were expected due to heterogeneity in feeding, structure and lack of control of the herd. More controlled productions present less variations (Garcés et al., 2004; Peña Blanco et al., 1999).

Both parameters Y and normalized yield to 210 days (Y₂₁₀) is lower than reported by other authors, even in the same region and much lower than reported in other regions (Funes & Mótola, 1998; Garcés, et al., 2004; Paz et al., 2005; Peña Blanco et al., 1999). Body condition (BC) of animals was one of the main factors that influenced milk production; the relationship between milk yield and body measurements suggests that the goats with better body weight and size generally produce more milk (Waheed & Khan, 2011). In this work, BC indices ranged from 1 (low weight animals and body measurements below the expected) to 3 (animals of adequate weight and normal body measurements for the breed). In the present study low-weight animals with poor body condition predominate in the flock; this fact would explain, in part, the low production. Another factor that directly affects the low milk production is the feeding of the animals, since the animals are fed according to the natural fodder offer. These factors cause that the nutritional requirements of the animals are not covered in the different physiological stages of the herd.

Cluster	Case	cc	Parity	Calving	Duration of	Y	Y 210	Dally	F	Р
	N°		- T	99890ñ	lactation (days)	(Kg)	(Kg)	production/	(Kg)	(Kg)
1	2	1.5	4	SIS	180	109.72	128.00	0.61	4.11	4.43
1	3	2	4	S/S	229	176.29	161.66	0.77	8.07	7.03
1	5	1.5	4	AW	191	132.21	145.36	0.69	6.46	5.64
1	9	2	2	S/S	214	154.83	151.93	0.72	8.42	5.77
1	16	2	2	AW	195	150.60	162.18	0.77	6.60	4.76
1	20	2	4	AW	259	140.78	114,15	0.54	5.58	4.88
1	32	2	4	S/S	195	115.07	123.92	0.59	5.91	4.96
1	34	2	- 4	S/S	214	116.83	114.64	0.55	7.62	5.80
1	35	1.5	4	8/8	200	148.89	156.33	0.74	7.09	6.13
4	37 20	-	-	2/2	200	112./1	121.49	0.35	6.91 E 04	0.20 6.69
4	47		4	ANN	107	153.15	163.26	0.74	11.40	0.00
÷.	49	÷.	- 7	ANN	384	264.28	144 53	0.69	7.67	6.79
÷	50	5	3	AW	245	185.38	158.89	0.05	10.02	918
i i	51	1.5	Ā	AW	293	187,18	134.16	0.64	8.59	7.10
i	52	2	4	AW	229	175.90	161.30	0.77	7.44	6.45
1	55	1.5	5	S/S	180	120.64	140.74	0.67	11.52	8.67
1	57	2	4	AW	259	226.46	183.62	0.87	9.60	8.96
1	58	3	3	AW	229	227.95	209.04	1.00	11.07	9.04
1	59	1.5	4	AW	293	245.83	176.19	0.84	6.47	5.89
1	63	2	5	S/S	202	146.18	151.97	0.72	7.69	4.92
1	66	Z.	4	AW	183	164.29	188.52	0.90	5.27	5.83
1		2	4	AW	250	104.11	134.62	0.64	0.49	3,46
4	72	4 6	-	- AMM EVE	240	495.45	140.50	0.90	12 54	2.00
4	76	2	4	sis SIS	180	151.96	177.28	0.84	6.97	5.64
-	77	15	Ā	AW	229	146.08	133.96	0.64	6.40	5.84
i	80	1	- 4	AW	229	160.35	147.05	0.70	8.17	5.84
1	81	1	4	AW	229	160.00	147.04	0.70	6.39	5.68
2	2	1	4	S/S	180	109.72	128.00	0.61	6.48	4.14
2	6	1	4	AW	197	87.84	93.63	0.45	4.36	3,19
2	7	2	1	AW	259	92:12	74.68	0.36	3.86	3.85
2	8	2	1	AW	150	87.67	122.73	0.58	5.34	3.95
2	10	2	1	AW	229	101.23	92.83	0.44	4.41	3.64
2	10	2	3	5/5	168	94.77	118.46	0.56	5.22	3.81
	75	÷.	-	818 616	190	04.04	93,07	0.45	4.00	3.27
5	26	5	Ă	AW	256	90.12	73.92	0.35	3.90	3.05
5	30	2		SIS	135	67.63	104.43	0.50	3.68	291
2	31	2	- 4	S/S	180	70.68	82.46	0.39	5.98	4.91
2	32	2	4	S/S	195	115.07	123.92	0.59	6.66	4,70
2	34	2	4	S/S	214	116.83	114.64	0.55	6.29	4,90
2	37	1	4	S/S	200	115.71	121.49	0.58	3.67	3.15
2	38	2	3	AW	224	88.78	83.23	0.40	3.84	3.79
2	40	2	4	AW	173	81.76	99.24	0.47	2.33	1.59
2	42	1	3	S/S	180	87.03	101.53	0.48	4.64	4.26
2	44	2	5	S/S A MU	180	100.59	117.36	0.56	4.26	3.67
4	40	4	4	ANY	101	00.00	120.47	0.57	4.11 4.40	3.03
2	40 55	2	5	202	100	ca.cu 120.64	140.54	0.32	e.ea 5.78	a.34 <u>4</u> 01
2	61	2	5	ANN	173	101.48	123.18	0.59	4.88	3.78
2	62	2	5	S/S	202	146.18	151.97	0.72	4,45	3,46
2	64	2	6	AW	197	78.43	83.61	0.40	3.72	3.81

 TABLE 3

 Characteristics of the animals selected by multivariate analysis.

Rev. Fac. Agron., La Plata (2023) Vol 122. Selection of dairy goats using multivariate analysis 1-9

Lactation has an average duration of 177.4 days, which coincides with the report of other authors in the region (Funes & Mótola 1998; Paz et al. 2005). The analysis of the variance was carried out in order to define the incidence of body condition, parity (first, second, third or greater) and calving season –autumn/winter (A/W) or spring/summer (S/S)- in the productive factors. Y, fat, accumulated production and duration of lactating have significantly higher values (p<0.05) in A/W-calving; no significant differences were observed in body condition or parity (Table 2). Increased Y is mainly associated with A/W births, when the days begin to lengthen and there are more hours of light, which causes an inhibition in animals and affects milk production (Hernández Ferrer, 1991). Was observed that Y was higher in A/W than in S/S (+24.74 Kg); while the average duration of lactation was 29.59 days longer in A/W than in the S/S season (Table 2). Often small producers prefer to concentrate calvings in the spring-summer season. These results indicate that "winter milk" would increase the production of the herd.

The differences found in fat content are the result of herd feeding since the pastures are also influenced by the season of year (Ferland et al., 2018). Fat content in milk fluctuates according the calving season, with higher levels being reported during the fall and the lowest at the beginning of the summer (Salvador & Martínez, 2007).

Figure 1 shows the individual milk production during the 421 controlled lactations. The points estimate a curve with an ascending phase, which has a peak at 30 days postpartum and a descending phase that begins at 120 days after delivery. Data dispersion is the result of the variation in milk production. These results demonstrate the need to identify and select the most productive animals in order to have a selected and controlled flock. Selection of animals would allow resources to be assigned to fewer animals that enable for greater milk production.

The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin test (0.822) and Bartlett's test of sphericity (Chi-square = 1573.928, P <0.00) determined the validity and confidence of the data to perform the factor analysis. PCA yielded 5 components that explained 100% of the total variance observed, of which the first two explained 98.40%.

In the first component, which explained 77.51% of the variance, Y and F were the variables of greatest relevance, while the second component, which explained 20.89% of the variance, had Y_{210} as a relevant variable.

Taking a distance of 5, the analysis of hierarchical conglomerates showed that according to Y, F, and Y_{210} , the herd can be divided into three groups (Figure 2) (Arredondo et al., 2015).

Cluster 1 was integrated by 31 goats with the highest productive parameters, above the herd average, together producing 59.1% of the accumulated milk yield. Cluster 2 was integrated by 24 animals whose production parameters were close to the current average of the herd and accumulates 27.7% of milk production. Cluster 3 included the animals with the lowest production, below the current mean values. Based on these results, animals of group 1 and 2 are selected to continue the productive activity. The goats of cluster 3 were discarded from the milking but they were destined to feed the newborns.

CONCLUSION

The results indicate the effectiveness of the use of multivariate statistics in the selection of animals from a herd in the family farming system. The use of this method will allow, quickly and economically, select the animals that satisfy the needs of the producer. From the results obtained, it was possible to make the selection of the 55 most productive animals for milk production. This represents 64.70% of the herd and they are responsible for 86.8% of the total milk production. Selection of the most productive animals will allow the small producer to better allocate resources and thus increase production.

REFERENCES

Arredondo, V., Macedo, R., Molina, J., Magaña, JC., Prado, O. y García, L. (2015). Análisis multivariado de la variación morfológica de la oveja Pelibuey en Colima, México. Actas Iberoamericanas de Conservación Animal, 5, 87–92.

Camelo, S., Torres, V., & Díaz, M. F. (2008). Análisis multivariado de los factores antinutricionales de los granos de leguminosas temporales. *Revista Cubana de Ciencia Agrícola*, *42*(4), 336–339.

- Espinosa, A. P., Fundora, D. G. P., Iglesias, D. G., Villavicencio, J. L. E., Pérez, R. O., Trujillo, A. G., y Serrano, N. Á. (2016). Curvas de lactancia individuales en vacas Siboney de Cuba. *Revista Mexicana De Ciencias Pecuarias*, 7(1), 15–28. <u>https://doi.org/10.22319/RMCPV%4147</u>
- Ferland, M.C., Guesthier, M.A., Cue, R.I., Lacroix, R., Burgos, S.A., Lefebvre, D. y Wade, K.M. (2018). Effect of feeding system and grain source on lactation characteristics and milk components in dairy cattle. *Journal of Dairy Science*, 101(9):8572-8585. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-13787
- Funes, Á., y Mótola, R. R. P. (1998). Tipificación de la producción lechera de caprinos. *Archivos de Zootecnia*, 47: 649-658.
- Garcés, R., Boza, J., Acevedo, P., Brandl, E. y Bruckmaier R.M. (2004). Persistence index and description of first 100 days of the lactation curve of primiparous and multiparous Saanen goats maintained in confinement. *Agricultura Técnica*, 64(3), 319–326.
- Hernández Ferrer, D. (1991). Bases de un Programa de Selección de Ganado Caprino: Controles de Producción. Tesis Doctoral. Facultad de Ciencias. Universidad de Córdoba. España.
- Idowu, S. y Adewumi, O. (2017). Genetic and Non-Genetic Factors Affecting Yield and Milk Composition in Goats. *Advances in Dairy Research*. 5(2). <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2329-888X.1000175</u>

International Committee for Animal Recording (ICAR) (2003). Guidelines approved by the General Assembly held in Interlaken, Switzerland.

- Paz, R. G., Togo, J. A. y López, C. (2007). Evaluación de parámetros de producción de leche en caprinos (Santiago del Estero, Argentina). *Revista Científica de La Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias de La Universidad Del Zulia*, 17(2), 161–165.
- Paz, R. G., Togo, J. A., Usandivaras, P., Castel, J. M., y Mena, Y. (2005). Análisis de la diversidad en los sistemas lecheros caprinos y evaluación de los parámetros productivos en la principal cuenca lechera de Argentina. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 17(1).
- Paz, R., Lipshitz, H., Álvarez, R. y Usandivaras, P. (2003). Diversidad y Análisis económico en los sistemas de producción lecheros caprinos en el área de riego del Río Dulce-Santiago del Estero-Argentina. *ITEA. Producción Animal*, 99A(1), 10–40.
- Pece, N., Frau, F. y Valdez, G. F. De. (2017). Cuenca lechera caprina de Santiago del Estero: producción primaria e industrial. Desarrollo de nuevos productos lácteos. En A. Albanesi (Ed.) Aportes de la FAyA (UNSE) para el desarrollo agropecuario y agroindustrial del NOA (pp. 75– 90) Universidad Nacional de Santiago del Estero.
- Peña Blanco, F., Vega Vilca, J., Sánchez Rodríguez, M., Martos Peinado, J., García Martínez, A. y Domenech García, V. (1999). Producción láctea y ajuste de la curva de lactación en caprinos de raza Florida. Archivos de Zootecnia, 48(184), 415–424.
- Salvador, A. y Martínez, G. (2007). Factores que afectan la producción y composición de la leche de cabra: *Revista de la Facultad de Ciencias Veterinarias*, 48(2):61-76.
- Seid, A. y Kebede, K. (2015). Breeding Objective, Selection Criteria and Breeding Practice of Indigenous Goats in Western Ethiopia: Implications for Sustainable Genetic Improvement. *Greener Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, *5*(5), 167–176. http://dx.doi.org/10.15580/GJAS.2015.5.072715105
- Vega, J., Peña, F., Sánchez, M., y Florida, R. (1999). Estimación de la producción de leche por el método Fleischmann en caprinos. *Archivos de Zootecnia*, 48, 347–350.
- Vinay-Vadillo, J. C., Villagómez-Cortés, J. A., Acosta-Rodríguez, M. R. y Rocher, C. (2012). Shapes of Lactation Curves of F1 (Holstein X Zebu) Cows in the Humid Tropic of Veracruz, México. International Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, 4(6), 370–377.
- Waheed, A. y Khan M.S. (2011). Genetic Parameters of Body Measurements and their Association with Milk Production in Beetal Goats. *Advances in Agricultural Biotechnology*, *1*, 34–42.
- Yakubu, A., Salako, E. E. y Abdullah, A.R. (2011). Varimax rotated principal component factor analysis of the zoometrical traits of Uda sheep. *Archivos de Zootecnia*, *60*(231), 813–816.